<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M-SSWEM II Tool</th>
<th>Scale Values</th>
<th>Row Cnt</th>
<th>Raw Cnt</th>
<th>Imbedded Pct</th>
<th>Field Pct</th>
<th>Omnibus PB Pct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SW400:RP</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW420:VI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW430:FOP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW440:GGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW450:CommNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW450:ProgPro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW460:PAProposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW469-OAProposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW469-PAProposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW469-FBPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW470-EBPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Table cells where students achieved established benchmarks on each assessment tool are shaded yellow, and are the basis for row percent calculations.
2) Values reflect rounding.
3) Term row percent values, and averaged Imbedded, Field, and Omnibus percents not meeting established benchmarks of 0.80 are highlight in purple.
4) Difference between term row percents and comparison group row percents where positive amounts indicate better term performance than comparison group (Column highlighted in green).